School of Computer Science & Informatics
University of Liverpool

COMP390 CA2 Project Video & Discussion – Marking Guidance

These criteria are looking at how well your video explains the project for a non-specialist audience that is not familiar with what you have done, particularly the second marker. They also cover the way you articulate yourself during the Q&A session. The video is supposed to be a short demonstration of the software in use, so you should focus on things that you can't easily show in the written dissertation.

Video (50%)
Does the video have a clear structure? Is there a short introduction? Are all major aspects of the software demonstrated via screen recording? Is the video organised logically to tell a complete story? Does the video have a natural and confident narration? Is the speech clear, and does it match what is seen in slides or during the software recording? Is the video free of technical errors, such as missing audio? Does the video contain sufficient examples (screens, data) to show all aspects of the software working?

Q&A (50%)
Were questions understood correctly? Were the answers clear and succinct, without lots of unnecessary or irrelevant detail? Did the Q&A have a natural conversational style? Did the discussion demonstrate self-confidence? Did you refer to any useful examples (from the video or elsewhere) to help answer questions? Did your answers demonstrate a clear understanding of the tools and technology used?