

# Gallai Theorems Involving Domination Parameters

S. Balasubramanian, J. Farr,  
K. Bernasconi, R. Laskar and J. Villalpando

*Department of Mathematical Sciences*

*Clemson University*

*Clemson, SC 29634*

{sumb, jeffref}@ces.clemson.edu

{bernask, rclsk, jvillal}@clemson.edu

K. Hutson

*Department of Mathematics*

*Furman University*

*Greenville, SC 29613*

kevin.hutson@furman.edu

G. Stevens\*

*Department of Mathematics*

*Hartwick College*

*Oneonta, NY 13820*

stevensg@hartwick.edu

M. Gairing

*Dept. of Math. and Comp. Sci.*

*University of Paderborn*

*D-33102 Paderborn, Germany*

gairing@upb.de

S. T. Hedetniemi

*Dept. of Computer Science*

*Clemson University*

*Clemson, SC 29634*

hedet@cs.clemson.edu

May 3, 2002

## Abstract

In 1959 Gallai [5] showed that the vertex independence number and the vertex covering number of a graph  $G = (V, E)$  sum to  $|V|$ . Over the last twenty years, many results similar to Gallai's Theorem have been observed [3]. These theorems are referred to as "Gallai Theorems" and usually have the form:  $\alpha + \beta = n$ .

Slater [17] described several graph subset parameters using linear programs (LP) and integer programs. Gallai Theorems for the resulting parameters may be obtained by using the concepts of LP-duality and complementarity. Slater defines several of the parameters

---

\*This work was done while the author visited Clemson University.

generated by various graph theoretic matrices, but leaves other parameters unstudied. In this paper, we take a closer look at some of those unstudied parameters and at some related parameters.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a simple graph on  $n$  vertices having  $m$  edges. For any vertex  $v \in V$ , the *open neighborhood* of  $v$ , denoted  $N(v)$ , is the set of all vertices adjacent to  $v$ . The *closed neighborhood* of  $v$ , denoted  $N[v]$ , is the set  $N(v) \cup \{v\}$ . For a subset  $S \subseteq V$ , the *open neighborhood of  $S$* , denoted  $N(S)$ , is defined by  $N(S) = \bigcup_{v \in S} N(v)$ ; similarly, we define  $N[S] = \bigcup_{v \in S} N[v]$  to be the *closed neighborhood of  $S$* .

A set  $S \subseteq V$  is an *independent set* if no two vertices in  $S$  are adjacent, and  $D$  is a *dominating set* if  $N[D] = V$ . In other words, a set  $D$  is dominating if every vertex in  $V$  is either in  $D$  or adjacent to a vertex in  $D$ . The maximum and minimum sizes of a maximal (see Section 3 for an explanation of maximal) independent set are denoted  $\beta_0(G)$  and  $i(G)$ , respectively. Also, we denote the maximum and minimum sizes of a minimal dominating set by  $\Gamma(G)$  and  $\gamma(G)$ , respectively. When the graph is not in question, we simply write  $\beta_0, i, \Gamma$ , or  $\gamma$ .

In 1959 Gallai [5] published the following theorem which bears his name. In this theorem  $\beta_0$ , called the vertex independence number, is as defined above, and  $\alpha_0$ , the vertex covering number, is the size of a smallest set  $S \subseteq V$  such that every edge in the graph is incident with at least one vertex in  $S$ .

**Theorem 1 (Gallai’s Theorem)** *For any graph  $G$  of order  $n$  having no isolates,  $\alpha_0 + \beta_0 = n$ .*

Over the last twenty years, many results similar to Gallai’s Theorem have been observed [3]. These theorems are referred to as “Gallai Theorems” and usually have the form:

$$\alpha + \beta = n,$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are integer-valued minimum or maximum functions corresponding to some property of a graph on  $n$  vertices. Refer to [7, 12, 10, 3] for examples of Gallai Theorems.

## 2 Using Linear and Integer Programming to Describe Graph Parameters

Following Slater's description [17], we may define many graph subset parameters in terms of linear programs (LP) and integer programs (IP).

Graph theoretic minimization (maximization) problems can be modelled in terms of LP/IP problems using the adjacency matrix,  $A$ , the closed neighborhood matrix,  $N$ , and the incidence matrix,  $H$ . For instance,  $S \subseteq V$  is a dominating set if  $|N[v_i] \cap S| \geq 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $S$  is a (vertex) independent set if, for any  $(v_i, v_j) \in E$ ,  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  are not both in  $S$ . The domination number,  $\gamma$ , and the vertex independence number,  $\beta_0$ , can be defined by the following IP's:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(G) = \text{minimize} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \\ \text{subject to} \quad & Nx \geq \bar{1}_n \\ & x_i \in \{0, 1\} \quad 1 \leq i \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_0(G) = \text{maximize} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \\ \text{subject to} \quad & H^T x \leq \bar{1}_m \\ & x_i \in \{0, 1\} \quad 1 \leq i \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $M$  be a  $k \times h$  matrix  $M = [m_{ji}]$  and let  $r_j = \sum_{i=1}^h m_{ji}$  and  $L_M = [r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m]^T$ . Also, let  $c = (c_1, \dots, c_h)$  be the  $h$ -tuple of objective function coefficients and  $b = (b_1, \dots, b_k)$  be the  $k$ -tuple of constraint coefficients. For any 0-1 matrix  $M$  and  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$ , Slater shows that at most eight parameters for a given graph can be generated by looking at the duals and complementations of a given primal problem  $P$ . He calls this the Dual/Complementation 8-cycle.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P: \min & \sum_{i=1}^h c_i x_i & \max & \sum_{i=1}^k b_i x_i \\ \text{s.t.} & Mx \geq b & \text{Dual} & M^T x \leq c \\ & x_i \in Y \quad 1 \leq i \leq h & \longleftrightarrow & x_i \in Y \quad 1 \leq i \leq k \\ & \updownarrow \text{Comp.} & & \text{Comp. } \updownarrow \end{array}$$



### 3 Definitions

Let  $P$  be a property of subsets of  $V$ . A set  $S$  having property  $P$  is called a  $P$ -set. We say that  $P$  is a *hereditary* property if every subset of a  $P$ -set  $S$  has property  $P$ . Likewise,  $P$  is *superhereditary* if every superset of  $S$  has property  $P$ . Also, a set  $S$  is a minimal (maximal)  $P$ -set if  $S$  has the property  $P$  and every  $S' \subset S$  ( $S' \supset S$ ) does not have the property  $P$ . Additionally, a set  $S$  is a 1-minimal (1-maximal)  $P$ -set if  $S$  has the property  $P$  and for every vertex  $v \in S$  ( $v \in (V - S)$ ),  $S - \{v\}$  ( $S \cup \{v\}$ ) is not a  $P$ -set. Certainly, minimal (maximal)  $P$ -sets are 1-minimal (1-maximal)  $P$ -sets, but the converse is not necessarily true. Haynes, Hedetniemi, and Slater [6] noted when the two concepts are equivalent.

**Proposition 2** *Let  $G$  be a graph and let  $P_h$  be a hereditary property. Then a set  $S$  is a maximal  $P$ -set if and only if  $S$  is a 1-maximal  $P_h$ -set.*

*Likewise, let  $P_s$  be a superhereditary property. Then a set  $S$  is a minimal  $P_s$ -set if and only if  $S$  is a 1-minimal  $P_s$ -set.*

Various types of domination may be created by placing additional requirements on a dominating set. For example, if  $S \subseteq V$  is a dominating set and if

- i) the induced subgraph  $\langle S \rangle$  is connected, then  $S$  is a *connected dominating set* (Sampathkumar and Walikar [14]);
- ii)  $\langle S \rangle$  contains no isolates, then we say that  $S$  is a *total dominating set* (Cockayne, Dawes, and Hedetniemi [2]);
- iii) for all  $u \in V - S$ , there exists a vertex  $v \in S$  such that  $uv \in E$  and  $\deg(v) \geq \deg(u)$ , then  $S$  is called a *strong dominating set*, and  $S$  is a *weak dominating set* if, for all  $u \in V - S$ , there exists a vertex  $v \in S$  such that  $uv \in E$  and  $\deg(v) \leq \deg(u)$  (Pushpa Latha and Sampathkumar [14]).

We introduce the following domination concept in this paper. If  $S$  is a dominating set such that  $|N[x] \cap S| \geq \deg(x)$  for all  $x \in V$ , then we say that  $S$  is a *degree-dominating set*. We also define  $S \subseteq V$  to be a  $\{deg - k\}$ -*dominating set* if  $|N[x] \cap S| \geq \deg(x) - k + 1$  for all  $x \in V$  and  $k = 1, 2, \dots, \delta$ .

Notice that  $\{deg - 1\}$ -domination is our ordinary degree-domination.

The parameters  $\Gamma_c, \Gamma_t, \Gamma_s, \Gamma_w, \Gamma_d, \Gamma_{d-k}$  and  $\gamma_c, \gamma_t, \gamma_s, \gamma_w, \gamma_d, \gamma_{d-k}$  denote the maximum and minimum sizes of the various types of minimal dominating sets, that is, connected, total, strong, weak, degree-, and  $\{deg - k\}$ -dominating, respectively.

Additionally, note that each of the different domination properties is superhereditary.

A set  $S \subseteq V(G)$  is a(n):

- i) *restrained set* if  $V - S$  has no isolated vertices;
- ii) *co-connected set* if  $V - S$  is connected;
- iii) *enclaveless set* if, for all  $v \in S$ ,  $N(v) \cap (V - S) \neq \emptyset$  [18];
- iv) *weak enclaveless set* if, for all  $v \in S$ , there exists a vertex  $w \in V - S$  such that  $vw \in E(G)$  and  $\deg(v) \leq \deg(w)$ ;
- v) *strong enclaveless set* if, for all  $v \in S$ , there exists a vertex  $w \in V - S$  such that  $vw \in E(G)$  and  $\deg(v) \geq \deg(w)$ ;
- vi) *2-packing* of  $G$  if for distinct  $u, v \in S$ ,  $d(u, v) > 2$ ;
- vii) *k-restricted set* if for every  $u \in V$ ,  $|N[u] \cap S| \leq k$ . Notice that a 1-restricted set  $S \subseteq V$  is identical to a 2-packing of  $G$ .

Let  $\Psi_r$  and  $\psi_r$  denote the maximum and minimum cardinalities of a maximal restrained enclaveless set, respectively, and let  $\Psi_{cc}$  and  $\psi_{cc}$  denote the maximum and minimum sizes of a maximal co-connected enclaveless set of  $G$ , respectively. Let the maximum cardinality of a weak and strong dominating set be denoted by  $\Psi_w$  and  $\Psi_s$ , respectively;  $\psi_w$  ( $\psi_s$ ) is the minimum cardinality of a maximal weak (strong) enclaveless set. We denote the maximum cardinality of a  $k$ -restricted set by  $T_k(G)$ ; similarly, the minimum cardinality of a maximal  $k$ -restricted set is denoted by  $\tau_k(G)$ .

Meir and Moon [11] defined the *2-packing number* of  $G$  to be the maximum cardinality of a 2-packing of  $G$ , denoted  $P_2(G)$ . Similarly, the minimum cardinality of a maximal 2-packing of  $G$  is denoted by  $p_2(G)$ .

Notice that 2-packings,  $k$ -restricted, restrained enclaveless, and co-connected enclaveless sets are all hereditary properties.

## 4 Main Results

If a set  $S$  is a minimal  $P_1$ -set if and only if  $V - S$  is a maximal  $P_2$ -set, then we say that properties  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  form a *Gallai pair*. Observe that  $P_1$  is superhereditary if and only if  $P_2$  is hereditary.

**Theorem 3** *The following are Gallai pairs for a connected graph:*

- (i) *total domination and restrained enclaveless;*
- (ii) *connected domination and co-connected enclaveless;*
- (iii) *strong domination and weak enclaveless;*
- (iv) *weak domination and strong enclaveless;*

(v)  $\{\text{deg} - k\}$ -domination and  $k$ -restricted.

*Proof*

We prove only (i) as the proofs of (ii) - (v) are similar.

Suppose  $S_1$  is a minimal total dominating set. Since  $S_1$  is a dominating set,  $V - S_1$  must be enclaveless, and, since  $S_1$  is a total dominating set,  $V - S_1$  is also restrained.

Conversely, suppose  $S_2$  is a maximal restrained enclaveless set. Since  $S_2$  is enclaveless,  $V - S_2$  dominates  $S_2$ , and, since  $S_2$  is also restrained,  $V - S_2$  doesn't contain any isolates. Therefore,  $V - S_2$  is a total dominating set.

Thus, we see that  $V - S_1$  is actually a maximal restrained enclaveless set and  $V - S_2$  is a minimal total dominating set. □

It is easy to see that the sizes of the smallest minimal  $P_1$ -set and the largest maximal  $P_2$ -set sum to  $n$ ; additionally, the sizes of the largest minimal  $P_1$ -set and the smallest maximal  $P_2$ -set sum to  $n$ . Thus, we have the following theorem. Note that (vi) follows from the fact that a 1-restricted set is simply a 2-packing.

**Theorem 4** For any connected graph  $G$  of order  $n$ ,

$$(i) \quad \gamma_t + \Psi_r = \Gamma_t + \psi_r = n;$$

$$(ii) \quad \gamma_c + \Psi_{cc} = \Gamma_c + \psi_{cc} = n;$$

$$(iii) \quad \gamma_s + \Psi_w = \Gamma_s + \psi_w = n;$$

$$(iv) \quad \gamma_w + \Psi_s = \Gamma_w + \psi_s = n;$$

$$(v) \quad \gamma_{d-k} + T_k = \Gamma_{d-k} + \tau_k = n;$$

$$(vi) \quad \gamma_d + P_2 = \Gamma_d + p_2 = n.$$

The total domination parameter  $\gamma_t$  is defined as  $(A, \min, \bar{1}_n, \bar{1}_n, \{0, 1\})$ , and its complement,  $\Psi_r$ , is defined as  $(A, \max, \bar{1}_n, D - \bar{1}_n, \{0, 1\})$ , where  $D$  is the  $n \times 1$  degree vector (i.e., the  $i^{\text{th}}$  component of  $D$  is the degree of vertex  $v_i$ ). The parameter  $\gamma_{d-k}$  is defined by the program  $(N, \min, \bar{1}_n, \bar{k}_n, \{0, 1\})$ , and its complement,  $T_k$ , is defined as  $(N, \max, \bar{1}_n, D - \bar{k}_n + \bar{1}_n, \{0, 1\})$ .

Not all of the parameters under consideration are associated with an obvious linear program. In particular, connected domination is difficult to describe by an LP. Also, neither strong nor weak domination is associated with an obvious linear program.

We can generalize Theorem 4 using the concept of *weighted domination*. Weighted domination parameters are studied in [13, 4, 9], for example.

To illustrate, we expand on Theorem 4 (i). Assign to every vertex  $v$  a positive integer-valued weight  $w(v)$ . For a set  $S \subseteq V(G)$ , we define  $w(S) = \sum_{v \in S} w(v)$ . We can now define the following parameters:

$$\gamma_t^w(G) = \min \{w(S) : S \text{ is a total dominating set of } G \}.$$

$$\Psi_r^w(G) = \max \{w(S) : S \text{ is a restrained enclaveless set of } G \}.$$

Note that  $\gamma_t^w$  can be formulated as the LP  $(A, \min, w, \bar{1}_n, \{0, 1\})$ . Also,  $\Psi_r^w(G)$  is formulated as the complement to the program for  $\gamma_t^w(G)$  as  $(A, \max, W, D - \bar{1}_n, \{0, 1\})$ .

Since total domination forms a Gallai pair with restrained enclaveless, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 5** *For any graph  $G$  with no isolates,  $\gamma_t^w + \Psi_r^w = \Gamma_t^w + \psi_r^w = w(V)$ .*

In the special case of weighted domination in which the weight function for vertices in a graph  $G$  is defined by  $w(v) = 1$ , we simply arrive at Theorem 4 (v). If  $w(v) = \deg(v) - k$ , the summation of the weights of all vertices in  $G$  is  $w(V) = 2m - kn$ , where  $m = |E(G)|$  and  $n = |V(G)|$ , as usual.

**Corollary 6** *For any graph  $G$  with no isolates and the weight function  $w$  defined for each vertex  $v \in V(G)$  by  $w(v) = \deg(v) - k$ ,  $k \in [0, 1, 2, \dots, \delta - 1]$ ,  $\gamma_t^w + \Psi_r^w = 2m - kn$ .*

## References

- [1] J.R.S. Blair, S.M. Hedetniemi, S.T. Hedetniemi, R. Laskar, and H.B. Walikar, Generalized vertex covers in graphs, *to appear*.
- [2] E. Cockayne, R. Dawes, and S.T. Hedetniemi, Total domination in graphs, *Networks* **10** (1980), 211-219.
- [3] E. Cockayne, S.T. Hedetniemi, and R. Laskar, Gallai theorems for graphs, hypergraphs, and set systems, *Discrete Math.* **72** (1988), 35-47.
- [4] P. Dankelmann, D. Rautenbach and L. Volkmann, Weighted domination, *manuscript*. (2001).
- [5] T. Gallai, Über extreme Punkt- und Kantenmengen, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös Sect. Math.* **2** (1959), 133-138.
- [6] T. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi, and P. Slater, *Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs*. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1998.
- [7] S.T. Hedetniemi, Hereditary properties of graphs, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory. Series B* **14** (1973), 94-99.

- [8] S.T. Hedetniemi, A max-min relationship between matchings and domination in graphs, *Congressus Numerantium* **40** (1983), 23-34.
- [9] R. Laskar and J. Villalpando, Domination parameters for weighted graphs, *Congressus Numerantium* **148** (2001), 103-111.
- [10] J.D. McFall and R. Nowakowski, Strong independence in graphs, *Congressus Numerantium* **29** (1980), 639-656.
- [11] A. Meir and J. Moon, Relations between packing and covering numbers of trees. *Pacific J. Math.* **61** (1975), no. 1, 225-233.
- [12] J. Nieminen, Two bounds for the domination number of a graph, *J. Inst. Math. Applic.* **14** (1974), 183-187.
- [13] K. Natarajan and L. White, Optimum domination in weighted trees, *Inform. Process. Lett.* **7** (1978), no. 6, 261-265.
- [14] L. Pushpa Latha and E. Sampathkumar, Strong weak domination and domination balance in a graph, *Discrete Math.* **161** (1996), no. 1-3, 235-242.
- [15] E. Sampathkumar and H. Walikar, The connected domination number of a graph, *J. Math. Phys. Sci.* **13** (1979), no. 6, 607-613.
- [16] P. Slater, Generalized graph parameters: Gallai theorems, *Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl.* **17** (1996), 27-37.
- [17] P. Slater, LP-Duality, complementarity, and generality of graphical subset parameters, Chap. 1 in *Domination in Graphs: Advanced Topics*. Eds. T. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi, P. Slater. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1998.
- [18] P. Slater, Enclaveless sets and MK-systems, *J. Res. Nat. Bur. Standards* **82** (1977), 27-37.