# Message authentication and hash functions

#### Aspects of message authentication

- · We would like to ensure that
- The content of the message has not been changed;
- The source of the message is authentic;
- The message has not been delayed and replayed;

## Message authentication

- Message (or document) is authentic if
- It is genuine and
- came from its alleged source.
- Message authentication is a **procedure** which verifies that received messages are authentic

## Message authentication techniques

#### Using conventional message encryption:

 if we assume that only sender and receiver share a secret key then the fact that receiver can successfully decrypt the message means the message has been encrypted by the sender

#### · Without message encryption

• The message is not encrypted, but special authentication tag is generated and appended to the message. Generation of a tag is a much more efficient procedure that encryption of the message.

1



## MAC algorithms

- The process of MAC generation is similar to the encryption;
- The difference is a MAC algorithm need not be reversible
  → easier to implement and less vulnerable to being broken;
- Actually, standard encryption algorithms can be used for MAC generation:
  - For example, a message may be encrypted with DES and then last 16 or 32 bits of the encrypted text may be used as MAC



## One-way Hash functions

- An alternative method for the message authentication is to use one-way hash functions instead of MAC;
- The main difference is hash functions don't use a secret key:
  - h = H(M);

.

• "One-way" in the name refers to the property of such functions: they are easy to compute, but their reverse functions are very difficult to compute.



## Simple hash function

- Let the input be a sequence of *n*-bit blocks
- Then simple hash function does bit-by-bit exclusive-OR (XOR) of every block



#### Hash function requirements

- To be suitable for message authentication, the hash functions must have ideally the following properties:
- *H* can be applied to a block of data of any size;
- *H* produces a fixed-length output;
- H(x) is easy to compute for any given x;
- For any value h it is very difficult (infeasible) to compute x such that H(x)=h (one-way property);
- For any given x, it is very difficult (infeasible) to find y (not equal to x) such that H(x) = H(y); (weak collision resistance);
- It is very difficult (infeasible) to find any pair (x,y) such that H(x) = H(y); (strong collision resistance).

# Simple hash function

- Simple hash function does not satisfy the weak (and strong) collision property;
- for any message M it is very easy to generate a message M1 such that h(M) = h(M1):
- Take arbitrary message M2, compute h(M2) = h2, then
- Add additional block to M2, such that for the resulting M3 we have h(M3) = h(M1).

# The SHA-1 Secure Hash Algorithm

#### • SHA-1 algorithm (1993-1995):

- It has been used in the sample program illustrating password-based encryption (practical sessions);
- Takes as input a message with a maximum length
- less than 2 to power 64 bits and produces as output a 160-bit message digest;
- The input is processed in 512-bit blocks;
- Each bit of the output is computed using all bits of the input.

## SHA-1 processing a single block



# SHA-1 general scheme



# Problems and Solutions In 2005 a possible mathematical weakness of SHA-1 has been established:

- ~2000 time more efficient than brute force search attack was found by Xiaoyun Wang
- Further developments: SHA-2: (SHA-224,-256,-384,-512)
- New competition for the new standard of hash functions by NIST:
- Deadline for submissions was 31,10,2008
- New standard SHA-3 is announced a winner on 2nd October 2012; not a replacement, but alternative for SHA-2

# **Recent News**

- SHAppening, October 2015 "freestart" collision attack (by M. Stevens, P, Karpman, T. Peyrin)
- ~ US \$2000 of GPU time on EC2, est.
- **SHAttered,** February 2017, full collision attack
- (by Google)
- ~ 6,500 years of CPU time